UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,170	09/25/2006	Dirk Dobrindt	N81841LPK	1592
	7590 12/09/200 DDAK COMPANY	EXAMINER		
PATENT LEGA	AL STAFF		SEVERSON, JEREMY R	
343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/569,170	DOBRINDT, DIRK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeremy Severson	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Secondary</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the Expression i	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/23/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scarlata (US 5,518,230).

Regarding claim 1, Scarlata discloses a device for the placement of sheets for a printer, including at least one rotating drivable sheet conveyor, which is provided to accept or grip a front edge of a sheet and for the placement of the sheet on a stack of sheets after traversing a rotation path, and a sensor device for the detection of the attained stack height and the attained stack level, which has a sensor, which simultaneously functions as the holding-down element for the stack, which is lifted,

mechanically controlled, from the stack, for the release of the stack, so as to place the next sheet on the stack; said device characterized in that; at least two sheet conveyors (the two arms 21) are provided in such a way that these several sheet conveyors can rotate around a common axis, essentially independent of one another, and thus one of these sheet conveyors is ready to accept or detect the next sheet, if another one of these sheet conveyors is still occupied with the transport or the placement of a preceding sheet and that the sensor is coupled mechanically with several of these sheet conveyors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scarlata in view of Ruenzi (US 4,212,456).

Regarding claims 2-4, Scarlata discloses everything except a jacket surface on the sheet guide. Ruenzi teaches the use of a jacket surface on sheet conveyors in order to increase the friction between the conveyor and the sheets. Col. 5, lines 33 et seq.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a jacket surface on the sheet conveyors of Scarlata, as taught by Ruenzi, in order to increase the friction between the conveyor and the sheets.

Regarding claims 5-7, Scarlata discloses everything except doubly provided sheet conveyors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use doubly provided sheet conveyors in Scarlata in order to better convey the sheets, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scarlata (US 5,518,230).

Regarding claims 8-14, Scarlata discloses everything except several sensors distributed over the sheet width. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use multiple sheet sensors in Scarlata in order to better detect the stack height, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Severson whose telephone number is (571)272-2209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey, can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,170 Page 5

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeremy Severson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3653 /Patrick H. Mackey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653